

# Nutrient TMDLs in Baltimore Harbor Update

June 10, 2003

Baltimore Harbor Stakeholder Advisory Group

# Nutrient TMDLs in Baltimore Harbor

## Update

- Endpoints -- DO and Chla
- Model -- Calibration and Review Processes
- Proposed Scenarios
- Schedule/Timeline

# Endpoints

- DO
  - Adapt CBP proposed Criteria
- Chla
  - Adapt CBP proposed narrative Criteria
  - Numerical Criteria:  $< 50 \mu\text{g/L}$

## DO Endpoint

# Maryland Regulation

- Designated uses -- All estuarine portions of Back River and Baltimore Harbor are currently designated in the regulations as Use-I waters
- From COMAR 26.08.02.03-3: Criteria for Use-I Waters - Water Contact Recreation and Protection of Aquatic Life.
- The dissolved oxygen concentration may not be less than 5 mg/L at any time.
- If the natural water quality of a stream segment is not consistent with the criteria established for the stream then:
  - The natural conditions do not constitute a violation of the water quality standards; and
  - The water quality to be maintained and achieved is not required to be substantially different from that which would occur naturally.

## DO Endpoint

# CBP proposed Designated uses that applied to Patapsco/Back River

- I: Migratory Fish Spawning and Nursery Designated Use
  - DO: 6 mg/L 7-days average (only tidal habitats with 0-0.5 ppt salinity)  
5 mg/L 1-day minimum
  - Application: 2/1 ~ 5/31
- II: Open-Water Fish and Shellfish Designated Use
  - DO: 5.5 mg/L 30-day mean (tidal habitats with 0-0.5 ppt salinity)  
5.0 mg/L 30-day mean (tidal habitats greater than 0.5 ppt salinity)  
4.0 mg/L 7-day mean  
3.0 mg/L instantaneous minimum
  - Application: Year round

## DO Endpoint

# CBP proposed Designated uses that applied to Patapsco/Back River(Cont')

- III: Deep Water Seasonal Fish and Shellfish Designated Use
  - DO: 3.0 mg/L 30-days mean  
2.3 mg/L 1-day mean  
1.7 mg/L instantaneous minimum
  - Application: 6/1 ~ 9/30
- IV: Deep Channel Seasonal Refuge Designated Use
  - DO: 1.0 mg/L instantaneous minimum  
Incidence of sustained, periodic anoxic conditions acceptable ( narrative criteria applies only to the seasonal anoxic region from 7/1 ~ 8/31)(V)
  - Application: 6/1 ~ 9/30

# Proposed DO Endpoint for Back River

- For period of 2/1 ~ 5/31
  - DO: 6 mg/L 7-days average (only tidal habitats with 0-0.5 ppt salinity)  
5 mg/L 1-day minimum
  - Migratory Fish Spawning and Nursery Designated Use
- For period of 6/1 ~ 1/31
  - DO: 5.5 mg/L 30-day mean (tidal habitats with 0-0.5 ppt salinity)  
5.0 mg/L 30-day mean (tidal habitats greater than 0.5 ppt salinity)  
4.0 mg/L 7-day mean  
3.0 mg/L instantaneous minimum
  - Open-Water Fish and Shellfish Designated Use

# DO Endpoint

## For Baltimore Harbor (Patapsco river)

- A combination of water column stratification, narrow and deep dredged shipping channels and direct hydrologic connections with deep waters in the adjacent mainstem Chesapeake Bay leads to delineation of a
  - I: Migratory Fish Spawning and Nursery Designated Use
  - II: Open-water designated use: extend from the surface to the upper pycnocline depth
  - III: Deep-water designated use: occupy the volume between the upper and lower pycnocline depths
  - IV: Deep-channel designated use: the volume from the lower pycnocline depth to the bottom
  - V: Seasonally anoxic region (July and August only), that region would be defined as the volume between the bottom and half the distance between the bottom and the lower pycnocline depth



## DO Endpoint

# Pycnocline Distribution

- The protocol for calculating the presence of a pycnocline can be found in CBP's Technical Support Document (TSD) Appendix D for conducting Use Attainability Analyses (UAAs) .
- Median Station Pycnocline Depths and Percent Occurrence: 1985-2000

Baltimore Harbor	Upper Depth	% Upper	Lower Depth	% Lower	Interpyc Depth
Summer (July-September)	6.5	90	11.5	59	3.0

# Proposed DO Endpoint for Baltimore Harbor (Patapsco river)

	2/1 ~ 5/31	6/1 ~ 6/30	7/1 ~ 8/31	9/1 ~ 9/30	10/1 ~ 1/31
0 – 6.5 m	I	II	II	II	II
6.5 – 11.5 m	I	III	III	III	II
11.5 – $\frac{1}{2}$ (bottom- 11.5) m	I	IV	IV	IV	II
$\frac{1}{2}$ (bottom – 11.5) m	I	IV	V	IV	II

# Chla Endpoint

- COMAR do not state criteria for Chlorophyll a
- Recommended Chesapeake Bay Chlorophyll a Narrative Criteria:
  - Concentrations of chlorophyll a in free-floating microscopic aquatic plants (algae) shall not exceed levels that result in ecologically undesirable consequences -- such as reduced water clarity, low dissolved oxygen, food supply imbalances, proliferation of species deemed potentially harmful to aquatic life or humans or aesthetically objectionable conditions - or otherwise render tidal waters unsuitable for designated uses.

# Proposed Chla Endpoint

- MDE will be adapting proposed CBP narrative Chla criteria to be implemented by existing Chla guidelines.
- Existing Guidelines:  $< 50 \mu\text{g/L}$ .
- Eutrophication model will be used to check Chla levels when DO reaches attainment.
- Using Chla rolling monthly average for attainment comparison.

# Models

- Hydrodynamic Model -- CH3D
- Water Quality Model -- CE-QUAL-ICM
- Sediment Flux Model

# Water Quality Model Calibration

- Time Series
- Longitudinal Profiles
- Primary Production
- Sediment fluxes
- Nutrient Limitation
- Statistical Analysis
- Summary

# Model Calibration Summary

- DO Calibrations look good everywhere.
- Chla calibrations are good in most place, except in Rock Creek and Stony Creek.
- Model Catches the trend and match data very well in most places.

# Model Review Processes

- Internal MDE review -- In process
- CBP Modeling Subcommittee
- State Agency/Local Jurisdictions
- Stakeholders



# Proposed Scenarios

- CBP Scenario 175 -- CBP proposed allocation
  - Baywide
    - N: 175 million pounds/year
    - P: 12.8 million pounds/year

# Schedule/Timeline

- Model Review -- July
- Scenario Review -- August/September
- Report Review -- September/October